UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,584	12/28/2001	Samuel Kho	PALM.P881	2323	
	7590 08/27/200 PARADICE KREISMA		EXAMINER		
4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD			PITARO, RYAN F		
SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA 95129-1034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2174		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonvious Summans	10/040,584	KHO, SAMUEL				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RYAN F. PITARO	2174				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>RYAN F. PITARO</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Van Mahamedi</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>15 August 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed claim amendments that better suit the Applicants that may be filed in a 312 Amendment. Claims were presented to allow for multi-directional input mechanism that includes one or more button features, protruding pads, or protruding members. These claims were constructed in a way to include navigational features that include, joypad, joystick, buttons but to exclude mice, trackballs, touch pads and such.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Ryan F Pitaro/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174						

Application No.

Applicant(s)